

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/601,888	08/09/2000	ARTHUR JING-MIN YANG	P 0290714	3779
909 7	7590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			CINTINS, IVARS C	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WCDD1111, V1	22102		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a	/
W	

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/601,888	YANG, ARTHUR JING-MIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or example. 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange representation is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/601,888

Art Unit: 1724

The petition to revive filed August 13, 2002 has been granted, and this application is returned to pending status.

The restriction requirement mailed January 2, 2002 is hereby modified as follows, in order to take into account the lack of unity requirement made in the corresponding PCT application (PCT/US99/02181).

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and § 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1:

Group I, claims 1, 2 and 14 drawn to a process for producing a functionalized silica gel by first forming silica gel, and then reacting a ligand group with surface silanol groups of the silica gel;

Group II, claim 3, drawn to a process for producing a functionalized silica gel by first reacting a silica precursor with a reactive ligand introducing compound, and then adjusting the pH of the resultant solution to induce gelation;

Group III, claims 4-8, 15, 16, 18, 20 and 21, drawn to surface modified silica gel;

Group IV, claims 9-12, 17 and 19, drawn to a process for removing a constituent from a liquid; and

Group V, claim 13, drawn to a process for making an unmodified silica gel.

The special feature linking the above groups is silica gel, which silica gel does not provide a contribution over the prior art, as evidenced by Matsui et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,380,510), which teaches making silica gel, and maintaining it at 40° C (see col. 5, line 40) or 80° C (see col. 5, line 14) for a specified period of time.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,888

Art Unit: 1724

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.499, Applicant is required, in response to this office action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Furthermore, if the invention of Group IV is elected, then an election of species is also required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct constituent removed species of the claimed invention:

- (I) metal; and
- (II) organic chemical.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed constituent removed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 17 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that are elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species
to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 1724

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Blaine Copenheaver, can be reached at (571) 272-1156.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins April 30, 2004